Abstract

An approximately 683-g intact adult male pet rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) of unknown age was presented to the veterinarian by its owner with a complaint of lethargy and anorexia. Physical examination findings consisted of an enlarged abdomen and a large cranial abdominal mass strongly suggestive of neoplasia. Because of a poor prognosis, the owner refused additional diagnostic tests and the rat was euthanized. A necropsy was performed, and the findings consisted of a large, irregularly shaped mass that replaced a large portion of the liver. Multiple metastases were present on the peritoneal surfaces of other abdominal organs. Cestode larvae consistent with *Taenia taeniaeformis* were within cystic cavities in the center of the hepatic mass and in the remaining liver tissue. The clinical and postmortem gross and microscopic findings were consistent with metastatic hepatic sarcoma induced by *T taeniaeformis*.
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**T**aenia taeniaeformis is a cestode parasite of cats that uses rodents as intermediate hosts.1-3 *T taeniaeformis* was initially described in the 1700s; however, an association between the implantation of larvae and the formation of liver tumors in rats was not postulated until the early 1900s.4-6 Previous reported cases have been in laboratory or wild rats.2-7 Here, we present a case of *T taeniaeformis*–induced metastatic hepatic sarcoma in a pet rat.

**Case Description**

An approximately 683-g intact adult male pet rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) was presented by its owner with a complaint of lethargy and anorexia. Physical examination findings consisted of an enlarged abdomen and a large cranial abdominal mass strongly suggestive of neoplasia. Because of a poor prognosis, the owner refused additional diagnostic tests and the rat was euthanized. At necropsy, a large, irregularly shaped, hemorrhagic, red to tan–colored firm mass replaced a large portion of the liver (Fig 1). The mass extended to the stomach, spleen, and diaphragm. Multiple small metastases were found throughout the mesenteric fat (Fig 1) and on the peritoneal surfaces of the kidney, small intestines,
large intestines, pancreas, and seminal vesicles. On cut section, the primary mass and the metastases were firm and red to tan colored, with few areas of pale discoloration (Fig 2). At the center of the primary hepatic mass, an elongate, pale white, translucent, segmented cestode larva was found within a fluid-filled cavity (Fig 2). A second cestode larva within a fluid-filled, encapsulated cyst was present in the remaining liver tissue (not shown). These larvae were morphologically consistent with the larvae of *T. taeniaeformis*, an intestinal cestode parasite of cats.

Air-dried, cytologic preparations of the abdominal mass and metastases were obtained at necropsy, stained with an automated staining system (Hematek; Bayer Diagnostics, Elkhart, IN USA), and evaluated cytologically. The samples were of high cellularity and consisted of individualized and dense aggregates of discrete pleomorphic neoplastic mesenchymal cells (Fig 3). The discrete neoplastic cells varied from polygonal to plump spindle shapes and had low to moderate amounts of well-demarcated, finely granular blue to deep blue cytoplasm. Low numbers of cells had an elongate spindle shape. The cells had perinuclear clear zones that indented the nuclei. The nuclei were oval to indented and had coarsely clumped chromatin and single to multiple small nucleoli. Binucleate and trinucleate cells were rarely seen. Anisokaryosis and anisocytosis were moderate in severity. The morphology of the cells was consistent with sarcoma, possibly of histiocytic and/or fibrocytic differentiation.

The histopathologic findings were consistent with sarcoma of fibrohistiocytic differentiation (Fig 4). The neoplasm and metastases were nonencapsulated and densely cellular, and consisted of closely packed, pleomorphic plump polygonal to spindle-shaped cells arranged in dense sheets and ill-defined interlacing bundles (Fig 4). Multifocal to coalescing areas of necrosis occurred within the primary tumor. There was no significant neoplastic deposition of collagen or other extracellular matrix by the neoplastic cells. Perinuclear clear zones frequently occurred in some areas of the tumor and metastases, and, when present, would often indent the nucleus. Nuclei were oval to indented to irregularly shaped with coarsely clumped, irregularly distributed chromatin and small, indistinct, round nucleoli. The mitotic rate varied from 1 to 7 per high-power field. The cells of the primary tumor aggressively dissected into compressed and distorted adjacent remnant hepatic tissue and the diaphragmatic muscle. The metastases were primarily localized to the peritoneal surface of the other organs, with focal infiltration of adjacent parenchyma. The lungs were congested and col-
lapsed and contained small, multifocal, microscopic metastatic islands of neoplastic cells similar to those seen in the primary tumor and the abdominal metastases. The *Taenia taeniaeformis* larva found within the tumor had all the typical histologic characteristics of taeniid cestodes: scolex with hooks, suckers, and calcareous corpuscles.8

**Discussion**

The postmortem findings in the pet rat were consistent with *Taenia*-induced hepatic sarcoma. The microscopic findings were consistent with sarcoma of fibrohistiocytic differentiation and are similar to previously reported *Taenia*-induced hepatic tumors. There are no published descriptions of the exfoliative cytologic features of these tumors, and the present report addresses this gap in the scientific literature.

*Taenia taeniaeformis* is a cestode parasite of cats that uses rodents as intermediate hosts. The parasite has been previously referred to in the adult stage as *Taenia crassicollis* and in the larval stage as *Cysticercus fasciolaris, Hydatagera fasciolaris, Strobilocercus fascio- laris*, and *Cysticercus taeniaeformis*.1-3 *T. taeniaeformis* was initially described in the 1700s; however, the association between the implantation of the larvae and the formation of liver tumors in rats was not postulated until the early 1900s.16 *T. taeniaeformis* mainly localizes to the liver of rodents, and experimental studies have demonstrated the causal relationship between implantation of the larvae and the development of neoplasms in the liver.9,10 The overwhelming majority of the hepatic tumors are sarcomas with locally aggressive growth and a tendency to metastasize.2,3,7,11 Hepatic tumors are first detected microscopically at 8 months postinfection, but the majority of tumors form between 11 to 17 months postinfection.9 The tumors are believed to arise from the host capsule that forms around the encysted larvae. Encysted larvae and associated sarcomas rarely occur in other anatomical locations.6 In contrast to the pet rat of the present report, naturally occurring cases typically occur in laboratory or wild rats.2,7 Although other rodents are susceptible to infection with *T. taeniaeformis*, tumors are exceedingly rare in other infected rodents, suggesting that susceptibility to oncogenesis is partly dependent on the host species.3,12

The specific pathogenesis for the formation of tumors is not known; however, several causal hypotheses have been proposed including microbial infection, larval-derived oncogenic substances, chronic irritation/inflammation, and oxidative damage.2,3,11 It is possible that *Taenia*-induced immunosuppression could also play a contributory role.13

Clinical signs associated with *T. taeniaeformis*-induced hepatic tumors are nonspecific and may include lethargy, weight loss, anorexia, and sudden death.2,3 Nonspecific laboratory changes in infected rats include mild decreases in serum cholesterol concentration, increases in the serum activity of alanine aminotransferase, sorbitol dehydrogenase, and/or aspartate aminotransferase, decreased concentration of glucose, and increases in the numbers of peripheral blood neutrophils, lymphocytes, and/or eosinophils.14-17 Rats infected with larvae of *T. taeniaeformis* may develop unique lesions consisting of inhibited gastric acid secretion, hypergastrinemia, and gastric and intestinal mucosal hyperplasia.18,19 Experimental studies have demonstrated that these gastrointestinal changes are secondary to substances secreted by the larvae.20 The gastrointestinal tract of the rat in this report was not evaluated for mucosal hyperplasia due to autolysis. *T. taeniaeformis* may also have negative effects on reproductive function in the rat.21

A tentative diagnosis of *T. taeniaeformis* infection in the laboratory rat may be achieved with the use of ultrasonography and/or radiology. In experimental

**Figure 4.** Photomicrographs of the histologic sections from the hepatic mass. A, area of the tumor with spindleoid cell morphology; B, area of the tumor with discrete, plump cellular morphology. Many cells have perinuclear clear areas. Wright’s stain. Bar = 20 μm.
studies with laboratory rats, ultrasonography was used to detect parasitic cysts in the liver, and contrast radiography was used to detect hepatomegaly and gastric and intestinal mucosal changes.\textsuperscript{22,23} Serology may also be a useful diagnostic tool for the diagnosis of \textit{T. taeniaeformis} in rats.\textsuperscript{22} The clinical utility of ultrasonography, radiography, and serology for the diagnosis of \textit{T. taeniaeformis} in pet rats requires additional investigation.

Treatment options for pet rats infected with \textit{T. taeniaeformis} have not been adequately investigated. However, experimental studies indicate that praziquantel is effective at killing adults and larvae.\textsuperscript{24,25} The safety of praziquantel for the treatment of encysted \textit{T. taeniaeformis} in rats is unknown, and it is possible that killed larvae would elicit a marked host response that could be harmful to the rat. Vaccination of rats with inactivated oncospheres or oncosphere antigens protects rats from infection.\textsuperscript{26,27} The origin of the infection in the pet rat of the present report was undetermined. The owner did not own a cat and kept the rat indoor at all times with other rats. The rat was possibly infected in the breeding facilities or in the retail establishment where it was purchased.
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